

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

### **Examiner's first objection (re drawings):**

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as a result of figure 5 being hand-drawn and not being labeled. This has been corrected in the enclosed drawings, and thus, this objection is believed to be traversed. This correction is believed to traverse all three paragraphs on page 2 of the Examiner's Office Action. Furthermore, the Applicant would like to point out that figure 5 and discussion concerning this embodiment has been removed from the application.

### **Examiner's second objection (re disclosure):**

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure based on various language problems. These have been corrected in the preceding sections, and thus, this objection is believed to be traversed.

### **Examiner's first rejection**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 based on the presence of paragraph (f) in claim 1. This paragraph has been removed, and thus, this rejection is believed to be traversed.


Claims 2-5 are directly or indirectly derivative of claim 1. As claims that are derivative of a claim that is believed to be in condition for allowance, claims 2-5 also are in condition for allowance due to the fact they contain all limitations inherent in the base claim. Therefore, applicant believes he has traversed this rejection as well for claims 2-5.

### **Examiner's second rejection**

The Examiner has rejected claim 6. This rejection is moot based on the withdrawal of claim.

## **CONCLUSION**

For all of the above-described reasons, applicant submits that the specifications and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims define patentability over the prior art. In addition, applicant believes that his arguments in the "Remarks" section successfully traverses the objections and rejections brought forth by the Examiner in the Office Action. Therefore, the applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action he respectfully solicits. If the Examiner feels that some of the dependent claims are allowable, the Applicant ask the Examiner to allow the Applicant to make any amendments to the allowed claims to incorporate all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Respectfully Submitted,  
  
Matthew J. Peirce, Esq.  
Registration No. #41,245  
Attorney for Inventor Peter Zdziech, Jr.